

AMESBURY COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP 30 OCTOBER 2017 ACTION NOTES

03	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
1.	Attendees and apologies			
	Present:	Roger Fisher (Amesbury TC), John Ellis (Durrington TC), Richard Deakin (Durrington TC), Charles Penn (Winterbourne), Richard Harris (Shrewton PC), Jackie Clark (Bulford PC), David Hassett (Shrewton PC) Wiltshire Councillors – Mike Hewitt (Chair), Robert Yuill, Graham Wright Andy Cole (Local Highways Engineer (Amesbury and Tidworth), Julie Wharton (Principal Engineer)		
	Apologies:	Wiltshire Councillors Darren Henry, John Smale. Spencer Drinkwater (Transport and Development Manager (North and East)		
2.	Notes of last meeting			
		The notes of the previous meeting were ratified at the Amesbury Area Board, 27 July 2017 . (click on link for webpage)		All
3.	Financial Position			
		The final finance sheet is appended, the current financial position – £23,007.	The Area Board notes the update.	All

AMESBURY COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP 30 OCTOBER 2017 ACTION NOTES

4.	Top 5 Priority Schemes			
a)	Issue 4636 Old Road - SP4 0EQ Request for street light or illuminated bollard.	Estimated cost is £2000. The work has been ordered, awaiting programming by the contractors.	The Area Board notes the update.	JW
b)	Winterbourne Earls – Closure of the Fords	The work has been ordered, awaiting programming by the contractors.	The Area Board notes the update.	JW
c)	4774 High Post Road, Netton. Measures to improve give way signing at the junction.	The group agreed to fund the £1200 for the bollards and PC agreed contribution of £100. The work has been ordered, awaiting programming by the contractors.	The Area Board notes the update.	JW
e)	London Rd, Shrewton - Request for buildouts.	The group set aside £12,500 towards any proposed scheme. Topo survey is complete and cost £3300. Preliminary design work has been completed and the estimated cost of the scheme is £96,200. A bid has been made to the substantive scheme fund. Outcome of the substantive bids will be known by Christmas.	The Area Board notes the update.	SD
	Issue 4545 Church Street, Winterbourne Stoke – request for waiting restrictions. Issue 4742 High Street/Salisbury Street. Amesbury.	Various waiting restrictions combined into one order. All 3 sites have been advertised. Objections were received for the schemes at Durrington and Amesbury. A cabinet member report has been prepared for each and both have now been signed off by the cabinet member. The decisions can be found on the Council's website; the report for the restrictions in Amesbury can be found here and the report for the Durrington restrictions here .	The Area Board notes the update.	JW

AMESBURY COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP 30 OCTOBER 2017 ACTION NOTES

	<p>Reduce on street parking in town centre from one hour to 30 minutes.</p> <p>5064 Various locations, Durrington. Request for waiting restrictions</p>	<p>The work has been ordered, awaiting programming by the contractors. It is anticipated that the work will take place around mid to late November.</p>		
5.	Other Priority schemes			
a)	<p>Issue 4053 High Street/A360 Shrewton – Lining works</p>	<p>Some amendments to the scheme have been made since Shrewton PC consulted with residents and the scheme will now cost £2500.</p> <p>The group agreed to proceed and make this a top 5.</p>	<p>The Area Board approves the funding of £2500 and notes that the group agreed to make this a top 5 priority.</p>	JW
b)	<p>Issue 4426 Tanners Lane, Shrewton – measures to prevent no 1. being struck by vehicles</p>	<p>At the previous meeting the PC reported that no further damage had occurred but the group agreed to leave open until the next CATG meeting therefore if no further damage has occurred this issue can now be closed.</p> <p>Shrewton PC reported that no further damage had occurred and therefore this issue could be closed.</p>	<p>The Area Board confirms that the issue can be closed.</p>	JW
c)	<p>4968 Junction of The Portway & the A338 (SP4 6JL) Road structure signage change at the site in order to slow vehicles at the on approaches to the roundabout.</p>	<p>The junction has been considered again as part of this year's LSS prioritisation. The collisions have been re-reviewed and it was found that there were few common factors or locations; no collisions in 2015, in 2016 there were two additional collisions, both local drivers that failed to give way however the visibility is unobstructed. Therefore the decision has been taken to monitor for another year.</p> <p>All of the give way markings need refreshing and the approach signage is completely obscured by vegetation which needs clearing</p>	<p>The Area Board approves the funding of £1400 for the topographical survey.</p>	JW

AMESBURY COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP 30 OCTOBER 2017 ACTION NOTES

		<p>back. Splitter islands could be introduced at each entrance to the roundabout which would allow a bollard to be installed highlighting the roundabout, this work would be cost approximately £20,000. This would need a topographical survey to facilitate the design which would cost approximately £1400.</p> <p>The group agreed that it was appropriate to spend the money to determine whether or not a scheme was feasible/and or affordable.</p>		
6.	New Requests / Issues			
a)	<p>5451</p> <p>Salisbury Road 3.5t weight limit except for loading</p>	<p>Large heavy vehicles use the narrow roads through village causing damage to the roads therefore Shrewton Parish Council would like the imposition of a 3.5t HGV weight restriction except for loading on the B3083 Salisbury Road from its junction with the A303 to its junction with the A360 to include the unclassified road The Common from its junction with the B3083 to its junction with the A360.</p> <p>The group agreed to allocate £3000 towards a 3.5t HGV ban.</p> <p><i>Post meeting note: This cannot be progressed as an individual request, it will need to go through the Freight Assessment & Priority Mechanism led by the Transport Planning team on an annual basis. The group will need to nominate Salisbury Road as one of its roads for 2018/19. In the meantime the PC may wish to collect evidence of goods vehicles that are using the road, particularly those between 3.5t and 7.5t.</i></p> <p><i>The High Street, Shrewton was considered an exception as this was an unenforceable weight limit.</i></p>		CATG/ PC

AMESBURY COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP 30 OCTOBER 2017 ACTION NOTES

b)	<p>5739</p> <p>Boscombe Road, Amesbury. Request for Bus Clearway marking.</p>	<p>Vehicles are parking in front of the bus stop. Bus has to stop in middle of road causing hold-ups for both east and west bound vehicles. Pedestrians have to alight the bus in the middle of the road then make their way around stationary and moving vehicles to the pavement. Request for bus stop clearway marking either side of road and redundant bus stop outside Christ the King School to be removed.</p> <p>Bus Clearway markings are approximately £150 each + a share of the establishment fee. The group agreed to allocate £1000 to install two bus clearway markings and remove the redundant Bus Stops outside Christ the King School.</p>	<p>The Area Board approves the funding of £1000 for the clearways.</p>	<p>JW</p>
c)	<p>5738</p> <p>Stockport Road, Amesbury Request for no waiting at any time restriction.</p>	<p>The Town Council has received several reports about car parking at the roundabout on Stockport Road. On further investigation cars are often seen parking on the road which they are legally allowed to do, but it does make the road more dangerous. It has also been observed that when vehicles turn left out of the gate on site they have to make a quick decision when they are faced with cars overtaking parked cars.</p> <p>Request for double yellow lines to be marked from the roundabout to the layby. £1000 for order/£250 (+share of establishment fee) for works. The group requested more information and discussed progressing one set of traffic orders for waiting restrictions a year.</p> <p>All PC's to send in their requests by Christmas and then the final list would be determined at the March CATG. JW advised that they should still submit any requests to the Network Management team.</p>	<p>The Area Board notes the update.</p>	<p>TC</p>
d)	<p>5740</p> <p>Fairfax Close, Amesbury.</p>	<p>Parking in the town centre has increased - residents shoppers and workers are parking on a dangerous bend on the Fairfax Close which they are legally allowed to do but it does make the road more dangerous. It has also been observed that when vehicles try to enter</p>	<p>The Area Board notes the update.</p>	<p>TC</p>

AMESBURY COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP 30 OCTOBER 2017 ACTION NOTES

	<p>Request for no waiting at any time.</p>	<p>to exit Fairfax Close they have to make a quick decision when they are faced with cars having to overtake parked cars on a dangerous bend. Pedestrians crossing the road are often unsure of what vehicles intentions are due to having to make a quick decision regarding other vehicles.</p> <p>Double yellow lines to be painted from the entrance to Fairfax Close to start of right hand bend and repaint the white junction markings at the end of Fairfax Close on to High Street. £1000 for order/£250 (+share of establishment fee) for works - see item d.</p>		
<p>e)</p>	<p>5743</p> <p>Bulford Road, Durrington Request for bollards.</p>	<p>Durrington Town Council at its last meeting on the 26th of September discussed the issue of public safety along a specific part of Bulford Road Durrington SP4 8HA. The area of particular concern is the stretch of Bulford Road between Coronation Road and Poores Road. Due to parked cars on one side of the road impatient drivers are mounting the pavement alongside the shops - Kebab Shop & Palmers Papers so that two way traffic can be maintained. This is particularly prevalent early mornings and late afternoons. Bulford Road is in the heart of the village and is used to access all of the schools in the village those who walk along this part of Bulford Road are at risk of injury from the drivers who are mounting the pavement. The Town Council would therefore like to consider bollards being installed along this entire stretch of road as is in place outside of the chemist and library between Coronation Road and New Road.</p> <p>To install 15 bollards would cost approximately £3000. Durrington TC asked for them to be highlighted – possible reflector band/white top. The group agreed to allocate £3000 for 15 bollards to be erected.</p>	<p>The Area Board approves the funding of £3000 for 15 bollards to be erected.</p>	<p>JW</p>

AMESBURY COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP 30 OCTOBER 2017 ACTION NOTES

f)	5759 Amesbury Road jcn A338. Visibility improvements	Newton Tony Parish Council are concerned about visibility at the junction near the Dogs Trust A338. This concerns both visibility of vehicles on the A338 and Amesbury Road. Request for improved signage at the site, possible road markings, vegetation clearance either side of the junction. The group agreed that this should be made a top 5 so that a scheme could be investigated.	The Area Board notes that the group agreed to make this a top 5 priority.	JW
7.	Any other items			
a)	Location of CATG	The group discussed the venue and felt that it was appropriate to continue the meetings at its current location.	The Area Board notes the update.	CATG
b)	Street Nameplates	CATG funds may be used to fund the replacement of street nameplates therefore it is proposed that £5000 is set aside. A street nameplate costs approximately £250, so the funding won't go far. Parish/Town Councils can always offer additional funding. Only street nameplates on the adopted highway will be considered for this funding; priority will be given to those missing. PC/TC to send details and a photo to andy.cole@wiltshire.gov.uk by 22/12/2017.	The group agreed to set aside £5000 for Street Name plates.	AC
c)	Footway maintenance	The CATG has been allocated £10638 to spend on footway maintenance. CATG to determine how to select the footways the money is allocated to. Possible way forward that has been adopted by the Tidworth CATG is that Parish Councils have a month to submit one footway that they would like repaired and then AC selects the one that is in worst repair.	The Area Board notes the update.	AC

AMESBURY COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP 30 OCTOBER 2017 ACTION NOTES

		<p>The group agreed that the Tidworth model was the most practical way forward and that AC was the best person to determine the footways in most need. An email will be sent out to all Town and Parishes providing details.</p> <p>The group agreed that as a significant amount of footway work has been undertaken in Bulford and Amesbury this year they would not be considered a priority for this additional work.</p>		
d)	Flower Lane, Amesbury	<p>The Traffic & Engineering Manager asked for this item to be included on the agenda. A property known as Fern Cottage is being damaged by large vehicles. This is in part because parked vehicles make it difficult for large vehicles to manoeuvre. The group discussed the difficulty of providing anything that could offer protection for the property but would not pose a danger to the highway user.</p> <p>The group agreed that this should be made a top 5 priority for investigation so that any possible improvements could be discussed and bought back to the next meeting</p>	The Area Board notes that the group agreed to make this a top 5 priority.	JW
e)	Schemes for 2018/19	<p>JW informed the group that ideally they should be allocating their money early in the financial year so that it can be spent in that current year. Therefore a request was made for schemes to come forward now. The funding can be spent on rights of way where appropriate but priority should be given to those affecting the adopted highway. JW suggested that schemes were raised and then they could be considered and advice given as to whether or not they were appropriate.</p> <p>Dropped kerbs for wheelchair/pram access have been popular in other CATG areas.</p>		PC/TC

AMESBURY COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP 30 OCTOBER 2017 ACTION NOTES

		<p>The terms of reference for the CATG can be found here http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/highways-community-transport-group.</p> <p>The link to raise an issue can be found here https://services.wiltshire.gov.uk/Forms/area_board/areaboards.php?fir_stpass_done=1</p> <p>JW happy to provide advice – julie.wharton@wiltshire.gov.uk.</p>		
f)	SID's	<p>At the meeting a question was raised as to whether or not SID's can be purchased using CATG funding.</p> <p><i>Post meeting note:</i> it is confirmed that SID's can be purchased using CATG funds subject to the discretion of each individual group. The CATG cannot fund the maintenance or operation of any scheme.</p>		CATG
g)	Waiting Restrictions	<p>As discussed above in item 6c, requests for waiting restrictions should also be logged as an Area Board issue before Christmas and the group will consider putting together an order at its next meeting in March. Any requests should also still be sent to the Network Management Team at County Hall.</p>	The Area Board notes the update.	TC/PC
8.	<p>Date of Next Meeting: 05 March 2018, 10am, Redworth Centre, Amesbury Leisure Centre, Amesbury</p>			

Amesbury Community Area Transport Group

Principal Engineer – Julie Wharton

1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Amesbury Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Amesbury Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **£10,107**.

3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding implications

6.1 There are no specific safeguarding implications related to this report.